

Control concepts for the development of bi-directional heating networks

from thermal management to hydraulic control of decentralized heat producers

Klaus Lichtenegger, Daniel Muschick

Graz, January 20th, 2017



ING. LEO RIEBENBAUER GMBH



Overview

- The Need for Load Management
- Hydraulic Control
- A Synopsis of Load Management Strategies
- Model Predictive Control:
 - Concept
 - Simulation
 - Implementation
- Free Market Model
- Summary and Outlook





The Need for Load Management

Producers need to know when to provide heat and how much

Minimize heat generation costs

(Biomass) fuel, electrical energy for pumps and heat pump

Minimize losses

Cooldown of hot water in buffers and in grid

Minimize emissions

 Avoid frequent on/off-switching of producers, prefer nominal operating conditions

Prevent supply shortage

 Provide sufficient feed temperature, keep buffers filled for worst-case scenario



Slide 3 Graz, January 20th, 2017

The Need for Load Management: Levels of Control

- The control problem for multi-producer bi-directional heating grids can be separated into two layers:
 - Load Management (superordinate control, high-level control): Decide when to turn on and off which heat producer, set target values for heat production → production schedule
 - Hydraulic Control (subordinate control, low-level control): Provide hydraulic conditions (pressure levels, temperature levels, mass flows etc.) so that the produced heat is transferred to the consumers



Hydraulic Control: Problem Description

Task

Every producer feeding heat into the network must maintain pressure levels and minimum feed temperature

Challenges

 Classical approach of pump control does not work (constant pressure difference at "weakest" point)



kompetenz

Hydraulic Control: Problem Description

Task

Every producer feeding heat into the network must maintain pressure levels and minimum feed temperature

Challenges

- Classical approach of pump control does not work (constant pressure difference at "weakest" point)
- Risk of pressure oscillations and inter-producer interference
- Risk of stagnation points



innovations

kompetenz

weakest point ?

Hydraulic Control: Problem Description

Task

Every producer feeding heat into the network must maintain pressure levels and minimum feed temperature

Challenges

- Classical approach of pump control does not work (constant pressure difference at "weakest" point)
- Risk of pressure oscillations and inter-producer interference
- Risk of stagnation points
- Cannot get rid of surplus heat if no consumers currently need it
- Strategy for communication with load management is needed, including fallback solution in case of communication failure

Hydraulic Control: Approaches

Two main approaches:

- Centralized: All pumps and valves controlled by one central controller
 - does not scale well, main problem: high information transmission times
 - implementation e.g. via model-based control strategy
- Distributed: Classical PID controller at each producer. Control of...
 - mass flow fed into the network if heat cannot be stored locally
 - pressure difference at currently relevant "weak point" if heat can be stored locally
- Currently, different control strategies are validated and evaluated in simulations using hydro- and thermodynamic models of the heating grid
- Best strategies will be implemented on **real system** in summer 2017



Slide 8 Graz, January 20th, 2017

bio<mark>energy</mark>2020+

A Synopsis of Load Management Strategies: Concepts

Concepts for Load Management Strategies:

- Complete Central Access: Single central instance has complete control over all actuating variables
- Central Targets: Central instance communicates target values for heat production to the prosumers
- Central Decision: Prosumers communicate heat demand and production costs, central decision
- Decentralized Decision: Central instance defines a fair price for feed-in, prosumers decide autonomously
- Free Market: Prosumers communicate their marginal production costs and arrange bilateral transactions



A Synopsis of Load Management Strategies: Comparison

Aspect	Central (Authorit.)	Decentralized (Auton.)
System performance	possibly optimal	reasonably good*
Security of supply	high	low to high**
Autonomy	low	high to low**
Vulnerability to attacks***	critical central node	moderate
Hydraulic complexity	high	moderate
Scaling properties	usually bad	moderate
Computing power (central)	high	low/none
Computing power (prosumer)	low	moderate

* Price-based strategies are susceptible to formation of syndicates.

- ** depending on the legal framework
- *** All approaches depend on a working communication structure.



Slide 10 Graz, January 20th, 2017

bioenergy2

To be

A Synopsis of Load Management Strategies: **Concepts under consideration**

Two exemplary strategies presented in this talk:

- Complete Central Access: A single ce implemented in has complete control over all actuating Großschönau
- **Central Targets:** A central instance communicates target values for heat production to the prosumers
- **Central Decision:** Prosumers communicate heat demand and production costs, central decision
- **Decentralized Decision:** Central instar fair price for feed-in, prosumers decide

Further investigation in simulations

Free Market: Prosumers communicate their marginal production costs and arrange bilateral transactions



autonomous

Model Predictive Control (Central Targets): Concept

Model Predictive Controller

- Determine the state of the system
 - heat stored in buffer, temperatures and mass flows in the grid, current output of the producers
- Use mathematical models of producers, buffers, heat pumps and the grid to predict future behavior using
 - a **prediction of the heat load** required by the consumers
 - a prediction of the solar yield of the solar collectors
 - Find operation strategy for the next 24h minimizing future costs while guaranteeing sufficient heat supply
 - Apply this strategy for a specific time, e.g. 15 min
 - Use measurements to compare actual with predicted behavior and update state estimate accordingly



kompetenz



Slide 13 Graz, January 20th, 2017



Slide 14 Graz, January 20th, 2017 innovations kompetenz



Free Market Model

Alternative approach: Free Market Model

Basic agent: **prosumer** (cluster), characterisation of

- Controllable production with associated production prices
- Independent production (e.g. solar, waste heat)
- Heat consumption profile
- Heat storage capacity
- Optimization algorithm consists of two stages, consecutively executed at each timestep:
 - 1. Individual optimization of heat production schedule
 - 2. Further optimization by bilateral transactions



Slide 15 Graz, January 20th, 2017



Free Market Model: Step 1

Individual optimization of heat production schedule

- Perform (model-predictive) optimization for individual heat production, making use of load forecasts etc.
- Similar to approach presented before, but for a much smaller system





Slide 16 Graz, January 20th, 2017



kompetenz

Free Market Model: Step 2 (I)

Further optimization by bilateral transactions

Each prosumer calculates marginal costs for heat production for each timestep within the prediction horizon:





Free Market Model: Step 2 (II)

Compare marginal costs for all pairs of prosumers and check how costs could be reduced by bilateral transactions





Slide 18 Graz, January 20th, 2017



Free Market Model: Step 2 (II)

Compare marginal costs for all pairs of prosumers and check how costs could be reduced by bilateral transactions



- \blacksquare Find best transaction and fix it \rightarrow binding agreement
- Split savings in a fair way between the two protagonists
- Repeat this process until no improvement is possible



Slide 19 Graz, January 20th, 2017

Free Market Model: Conclusion

 Downside: Optimization by bilateral transactions roughly corresponds to a "steepest-descent" method

authoritarian

autonomous

- Local optimization not necessarily yields the global optimum
- The Market Model can be used either as a mere tool for optimization or as an actual **business model**
 - This also applies to all other price-based models with several protagonists

- Concepts for superordinate control:
 - Complete Central Access: A single central instance has complete control over all actuating variables
 - Central Targets: A central instance communicates target values for heat production to the prosumers
 - Central Decision: Prosumers communicate heat demand and production costs, central decision
 - Decentralized Decision: Central instance defines a fair price for feed-in, prosumers decide autonomously
 - Free Market: Prosumers communicate their marginal production costs and arrange bilateral transactions
- Further studies on market-based models are currently being carried out





- The successful integration of prosumers into bi-directional heating networks depends on reliable control strategies
- The hydraulic control problem for bi-directional grids is challenging: standard control strategies for heating grids cannot be directly applied to this case
- A Load Management strategy is necessary for reliable and efficient grid operation



Slide 21 Graz, January 20th, 2017



- Both centralized and decentralized control appoaches have their advantages and disadvantages:
 - Model predictive control (with central target values) is the most promising strategy for the current practical implementation and will soon be implemented in a (small) heating grid
 - Market-based models have better scaling properties than centralized/authoritarian strategies and may thus be better suited for larger networks
- Market models based on marginal costs and bilateral transactions are currently under investigation and could als be used as business models

Promising approaches, but still many open questions...



Slide 22 Graz, January 20th, 2017





Control concepts for the development of bidirectional heating networks

from thermal management to hydraulic control of decentralized heat producers

Klaus Lichtenegger

Mail: klaus.lichtenegger@bioenergy2020.eu Telefon +43 7416 522 38-67

Daniel Muschick

Mail: daniel.muschick@bioenergy2020.eu Telefon: +43 316 873 9248

Graz, January 20th, 2017

powered by klime+ fonds FFG innovations kompetenz